

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/521,424	03/08/2000	Satoru Wakao	35.G2550	1497
5514	7590 11/24/2004		EXAMINER	
	CK CELLA HARPER ELLER PLAZA	HO, THOMAS M		
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Ź			2134	

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/521,424	WAKAO ET AL.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Thomas M Ho	2134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 19 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment which al (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper reply to a chiple can be called a chiple can be called a chiple called a chip				
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv. event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three movement action term editinate parts.	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for the stat	if the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
aarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belo	will be entered and an wor appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		••				
Claim(s) allowed:	Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:	Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:	Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·					
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approx	oved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						
		· /				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has amended into the claims, the presence of a recording unit adapted to record the encoded digital image with the additional data on a recording medium. The nature of this recording unit, specifically, the process of encoding the digital image with the additional data. The amended claims would require more search and consideration.

GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATER T EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY LEE ER 2100

Dy M